



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,170		12/30/2003	Kulwinder Dhanoa	15114H-071300US	15114H-071300US 3360	
. 20350	7590	01/18/2006		EXAMINER		
		TOWNSEND AND	SCHLIE, PAUL W			
	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				2186		

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/751,170	DHANOA, KULWINDER					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul W. Schlie	2186					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/30     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2004</u> is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/751,170

Art Unit: 2186

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-13 have been examined.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show 2. "the arbiter 100" as described in the specification on page 6 line 23. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheets should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,170

Art Unit: 2186

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected as the specification page 6 line 23 makes references "the arbiter 100" not present in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

More specifically, independent claims 1, 6 and 11, and all correspondingly dependant claims, claim logic enabling the address calculation and queuing of potentially multiple burst access requests corresponding to each of potentially multiple read access requests based upon their respective designated burst length such that back-to-back SDRAM read bursts may be performed; insufficient detail is disclosed to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to implement that which is claimed without likely undue experimentation, thereby not considered the specification is not considered enabling. Corresponding logic and timing diagrams, and/or correspondingly equivalent detailed description of an enabling implementation is considered necessary.

The applicant is reminded that no new matter not supported by the original disclosure may be added. Corrective action is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,170

Art Unit: 2186

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over ARM ("ARM PrimeCell™ MultiPort Memory Controller (PL176) revision r0p1, Technical Reference Manual", June 2003).

As per independent claims 1, 6 and 11, although considered inherent of any memory controller fully complying with ARM's AHB bus specification implicitly acknowledged as prior art, ARM further teaches such a memory controller comprising: multiple ARM AMBA™ AHB bus interfaces each capable of receiving memory access requests for read access which may exceed the burst access length of the memory accessed by the said controller, the means to queue such requests that multiple corresponding memory transactions may result in satisfaction of such a read access request such that back-to-back SDRAM read burst may be performed (see chapter 1 "Introduction" section 1.1.1 "Features of the PrimeCell MPMC", and chapter 2 "Functional Overview" section 2.1 "PrimeCell MPMC functional description"). Where

Application/Control Number: 10/751,170 Page 5

Art Unit: 2186

although the reference does not explicitly detail whether incoming read access requests are themselves decomposed literally into some number of individually queued burst access requests, or if individual burst requests are synthesized upon demand, both implementation approaches are considered obvious design alternatives to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention.

As per claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-13, being dependent on claims 1, 6, 11, or correspondingly dependant claim inclusively, ARM further teaches that burst memory requests may be queued based on priority, and may prioritize requests issued to a presently open page (i.e. having the same, implicitly calculated row address) over that of a burst request to a closed page (i.e. having a different, implicitly calculated row address), thereby improving access efficiency; by then needing to only inherently modify the column addresses associated with successive potentially otherwise distinct memory burst access, avoiding the access latency otherwise associated with opening a new page or existing page redundantly (see chapter 2 "Functional Overview" section 2.1.1 "Multiport memory controller block" page 2-4 "Command sequencer"). Any potentially remaining claimed limitations not addressed explicitly are correspondingly considered as being obviously inherent, clearly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the disclosed invention, and/or not sufficient to patentably distinguish over prior art.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul W. Schlie whose telephone number is 571-272-6765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:00-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,170 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 517-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PIERRE BATAILLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER